UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,755	05/19/2005	Juergen Schroeder	DE02 0279 US	7822
65913 NXP , B.V.	7590 05/29/200	Juergen Schroeder	EXAMINER	
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			WRIGHT, BRYAN F	
	M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA 95131		2431		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/535,755	SCHROEDER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BRYAN WRIGHT	2431	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ute, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers	rawn from consideration.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be shown in the short of the shor	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	oate	

DETAIL ACTION

1. This action is in response to Amendment filed 2/3/2009. Claims 1, 8, and 11-13 are amended. Claims 1-14 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Examiner contends applicant's claim limitation element as amended, "wherein the one of the different instruction sequences is located at the program address" lacks support within the original disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 3. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen (EP 0690370 A2) in view of Anderson et al. (US Patent Publication No. 2003/0084336 and Anderson hereinafter).
- 4. As to claim 1, Cohen teaches a microcontroller the programming of which is carried out in at least one machine-dependent assembler language in which the assembler commands, with the exception of conditional program jumps or program branches (i.e., robust jump [pg. 9, 10- 25]), respectively, can be executed independently of data, comprising at least one random number generator (i.e., pseudo random generator [Cohen, claim 10]) assigned to the microcontroller can be executed (Cohen, claim 10), by means of which the program jumps and program branches (i.e., robust jump [Cohen, claim 9]) are executed in dependence on the state of the random number generator and independently of the internal state of the programming of the

microcontroller value prior to ending the instruction (i.e., ... teaches condition bit and Request Jump parameter to robust jump program [pg. 9, 10-25] such that both parameter are derived by a pseudo random generator signal [Cohen, claim 10]).

Cohen does not expressly teach the use of a Random Number Generator (RNG) for purposes of microcontroller activity (e.g., controlling a Jump Condition).

However, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Cohen as introduced by Anderson. Anderson discloses the use of a RNG for control of microcontroller activity (e.g., controlling a Jump Condition with in the microcontroller) (to provide microcontroller architecture such that the internal activities of the microcontroller are controlled by a RNG [par. 23]):

Therefore, given the teachings of Anderson, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have recognized the desirability and advantage of modifying Cohen by employing the well known feature of a microcontroller utilizing random number generation means to control its activity disclosed above by Anderson, for which microcontroller programming will be enhanced [par. 23].

5. As to claim 2, Cohen teaches a microcontroller, characterized by at least one, in particular bit-addressable (i.e., a 8-bit addressable register [fig. 6]), random number register (i.e., load clock division register) assigned to the random number generator

Art Unit: 2431

(i.e., .. teaches a pseudo random number generator [Cohen, claim 10] controlled by a clock division register [430, fig. 4]).

- 6. As to claim 3, Cohen teaches a microcontroller, characterized by an embodiment as a smartcard controller smartcard controller including the microcontroller of claim 1 (i.e., ... teaches a smart card is inherently a microprocessor [pg. 4, lines 40-45]).
- 7. As to claim 4, Cohen teaches a electrical or electronic device controlled by means of at least one microcontroller (i.e., teaches a microcode controller [pg. 5, lines 20-25]).
- 8. As to claim 5, Cohen teaches a method for processing the programming of a microcontroller executed in at least one machine-dependent assembler language, the assembler commands, with the exception of conditional program jumps or branches (i.e., teaches a robust jump conditional program [pg. 9, lines 10-25]), being executed essentially independently of data, characterized in that the program jumps or program branches (i.e., robust jump [Cohen, claim 9]) are executed in dependence on the state of at least one random number generator and/or independently of the internal state of the programming of the microcontroller (i.e., ...teaches a random generator operable to generate a signal to execute instruction [Cohen, claim 10] ... further teaches control algorithm [pg. 12, lines 5-50]).

Cohen does not expressly teach the use of a Random Number Generator (RNG) for purposes of microcontroller activity (e.g., controlling a Jump Condition).

However, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Cohen as introduced by Anderson. Anderson discloses the use of a RNG for control of microcontroller activity (e.g., controlling a Jump Condition with in the microcontroller) (to provide microcontroller architecture such that the internal activities of the microcontroller are controlled by a RNG [par. 23]):

Therefore, given the teachings of Anderson, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have recognized the desirability and advantage of modifying Cohen by employing the well known feature of a microcontroller utilizing random number generation means to control its activity disclosed above by Anderson, for which microcontroller programming will be enhanced [par. 23].

9. As to claim 6, Cohen teaches a method, characterized in that the random number generated by the random number generator read via software via registers (i.e., clock division register) and the random number read is then evaluated with a conditional program jump or branch (i.e., ... teaches a requested jump parameter read into robust jump program [pg. 9, lines 10-15]).

Application/Control Number: 10/535,755

Art Unit: 2431

10. As to claim 7, Cohen teaches a method, characterized in that, if at least one, in particular bit-addressable (i.e., 8 bit-address register [300, fig. 5]), random number register (i.e., clock division register) is present, testing per bit of the random number register and a conditional jump or branch is carried out (i.e., ... teaches logic for carrying out the conditional jump [pg. 9, 10- 25]).

Page 7

- 11. As to claim 8, Cohen teaches a method, characterized by the implementation of at least one assembler command ("branch on random bit"), a defined bit of the random number register (i.e., clock division register) being supplied, in particular directly, to a condition input for the conditional jump or branch [pg. 9, lines 10-25].
- 12. As to claim 9, Cohen teaches a method, characterized in that at least one Arithmetic Logic Unit (ALU) flag controlling the conditional jumps or branches is replaced (i.e., instruction decoder), in particular via the software, by at least one bit of the random number register (i.e., clock division register), so that the conditional jumps or branches corresponding to the bit of the Arithmetic Logic Unit are controlled by the bit of the Random Number Register (i.e., ...teaches a instruction decoder providing input to perform the robust jump [460, fig. 7] ... further teaches Condition Bits parameter for control logic of the conditional jump [pg. 9, 10-15]).
- 13. As to claim 10, Cohen teaches a use of a microcontroller for completely concealing the programming running on the microcontroller, so that at least one

program running on the microcontroller is unpredictable and non-reproducible for an external observer (i.e., ... teaches a execution unit containing programming logic [74, fig. 4]).

14. As to claim 11, Cohen teaches microcontroller comprising: a central processing unit; a memory accessible to the central processing unit, wherein the memory comprises instructions and wherein the central processing unit is configured for:

accessing the instructions, wherein the instructions comprise different instruction sequences for accomplishing a same desired action and where each instruction sequence produces a same result value for a same input value (i.e., ... teaches a sequence of computer instruction [pg. 10- 14]);

receiving a random number associated with an instruction sequences (i.e., ...teaches pseudo-random generator generating a signal to control instruction [Cohen, claim 10];

receiving the same input value (i.e., ... teaches receiving duplicate input signals [10, fig. 2]);

and executing the one of the different instruction sequences associated with the random number using the same input value to produce the same result (i.e., ...teaches executing the instruction base on a signal from a pseudo random generator [claim 10].

Cohen does not expressly teach the use of a Random Number Generator (RNG) for purposes of microcontroller activity (e.g., controlling a Jump Condition).

Application/Control Number: 10/535,755

Art Unit: 2431

However, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Cohen as introduced by Anderson. Anderson discloses the use of a RNG for control of microcontroller activity (e.g., controlling a Jump Condition with in the microcontroller) (to provide microcontroller architecture such that the internal activities of the microcontroller are controlled by a RNG [par. 23]):

Page 9

Therefore, given the teachings of Anderson, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have recognized the desirability and advantage of modifying Cohen by employing the well known feature of a microcontroller utilizing random number generation means to control its activity disclosed above by Anderson, for which microcontroller programming will be enhanced [par. 23].

15. As to claim 12, Cohen teaches microcontroller where the instructions further comprise a jump instruction (i.e., robust jump [pg. 9]) subject to a condition which if true directs the central processing unit to a program address (i.e., ... teaches jump instruction to machine locations [Cohen, claim 9]), where the one of the different instruction sequences is located at the program address (i.e., ... teaches location, and wherein the random number is associated with the instruction sequence via the program address [Cohen, claims 9]).

Application/Control Number: 10/535,755 Page 10

Art Unit: 2431

16. As to claim 13, Cohen teaches microcontroller where the instructions further comprise a jump instruction (i.e., robust jump [pg. 9]) subject to a condition which if true directs the central processing unit to a program address (i.e., ... teaches jump instruction to machine locations [Cohen, claim 9]), wherein the one of the different instruction sequences is located at the program address (i.e., ... teaches mapping scheme for controlling microcontroller activities [abstract]), and wherein the random number is associated with the one of the different instruction sequences via the condition (i.e., ... teaches a pseudo random generator operable to generated a signal to control instruction [claim 10]).

17. As to claim 14, Cohen teaches microcontroller where the random number (i.e., random number signal [Cohen, claim 10]) is generated by a random number generator (i.e., teaches a pseudo random generator) assigned to the microcontroller [Cohen, claim 10].

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Applicant's Remarks, filed 2/3/2009, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-14 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Cohen and Anderson.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRYAN WRIGHT whose telephone number is (571)270-3826. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:30 pm Monday -Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BRYAN WRIGHT/ Examiner, Art Unit 2431

/William R. Korzuch/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2431 Application/Control Number: 10/535,755

Page 12

Art Unit: 2431